Specific heat of some trans-uranium intermetallic compounds – search for heavy fermions

G.R. Stewart* and B. Andraka

Department of Physics, University of Florida, P.O. Box 118440, Gainesville, FL 32611-8440 (USA)

R.G. Haire

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 (USA)

Abstract

We measured the low temperature specific heat of single-phase samples of PuRh₂, PuGa₃, and NpCd₁₁. These materials are candidates for heavy fermion behavior based on their structures and, in the case of PuRh₂, on other existing measurements such as magnetic susceptibility. We find rather large γ values for PuGa₃ (225 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²) and PuRh₂ (145 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²), while that for NpCd₁₁ (10 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²) is low enough to suggest that another channel of behavior (*e.g.* magnetism) uses up the available f-electron entropy.

1. Introduction

The magnetic susceptibility of PuRh₂ at 2.5 K has been reported [1] to be 4.2×10^{-3} emu mol⁻¹, with a slight rounded peak at 10 K, $\chi_{max} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-3}$ emu mol^{-1} . The heavy fermion compound UPt₃ has approximately similar behavior, with $\chi_{max} = 7.2 \times 10^{-3}$ emu mol⁻¹ at 19 K for a polycrystalline specimen [2]. UPt₃ occurs in the hexagonal DO19 structure, while PuRh₂ occurs in the cubic C15 structure. UPt₃ shows [3, 4] evidence of spin fluctuations in the specific heat (an upturn at low T in the C/T data which obeys $C \sim T^3$ $\ln T$) and a large γ ($T \rightarrow 0$) of 450 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻². Despite the difference in structure, PuRh₂ is a reasonable candidate for further investigation for possible heavy fermion and/or spin fluctuation behavior, particularly since two other examples of spin fluctuation behavior occur in the C15 structure, namely UAl₂ [5] and TiBe₂ [6].

PuGa₃ occurs in the DO19 structure. Based on arguments of structure, any 4f or 5f intermetallic compound which occurs in the DO19 structure is an interesting candidate for heavy fermion behavior, since both UPt₃ (γ ($T \rightarrow 0$)=450 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²) and CeAl₃ (γ ($T \rightarrow 0$)=1600 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²) possess the DO19 structure.

UCd₁₁ is known [7] to be a heavy fermion antiferromagnet, $T_N = 5.0$ K, and $\gamma (T > 5 \text{ K}) = 840 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1}$ K^{-2} . Usually, Np analog compounds are magnetic at higher ordering temperatures than their U counterparts (e.g. NpBe₁₃ orders antiferromagnetically at 3.4 K while UBe₁₃ instead becomes diamagnetic-superconducting at 0.9 K). However, if the expected magnetic order in NpCd₁₁ is not too strongly localized in character, some of the remaining unrealized magnetic entropy (*i.e.* that fraction of Rln(2s+1) not involved in the transition) could be expected to contribute to a large electronic density of states and high γ at lower temperatures.

2. Results and discussion

The specific heats of NpCd₁₁, PuRh₂, and PuGa₃ are shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Specific heat data from 12 to 59 K for NpCd₁₁ (not shown) indicate that C/T remains essentially constant at 3.8 J mol⁻¹ K^{-2} between 32 and 59 K, with no sign of any major anomaly in the specific heat. At low temperatures, C/T approaches a low value, 10 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻². This result was verified for two different preparations of NpCd₁₁, both having X-ray patterns indicative of pure $NpCd_{11}$ in the same structure as UCd_{11} . Based on data from other U and Np homologous intermetallic compounds, where it is generally true that the Np compounds order at a higher temperature than the corresponding homolog compound, NpCd₁₁ is expected to order magnetically above 59 K. Magnetic susceptibility data to verify this are necessary.

^{*}Also at the University of Augsburg, 86135, Augsburg, Germany.



Fig. 1. Low temperature specific heat C divided by temperature T vs. T^2 for NpCd₁₁. As discussed in the text, these data plus data to 59 K (not shown) show no evidence of a magnetic anomaly.



Fig. 2. Low temperature specific heat C divided by temperature $T vs. T^2$ for PuRh₂. The data show no sign of a $T^3 \ln T$ upturn at the lowest temperatures.

The low temperature specific heat data for PuRh₂ give the result that $\gamma (T \rightarrow 0) = 145 \pm 10 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ and $\theta_D = 190 \pm 10 \text{ K}$. There is no sign of any upturn in the low temperature specific heat indicative of spin fluctuations. The size of the γ value qualifies PuRh₂ as a middle-heavy fermion system without, however, the temperature dependence in the low temperature γ (equivalent to C/T as $T \rightarrow 0$) that is characteristic [2] of most heavy fermion systems.

The low temperature (only measured down to 4.9 K owing to the self-heating and the larger-sized sample used) data for PuGa₃, Fig. 3, show similar behavior to



Fig. 3. Low temperature specific heat C divided by temperature T vs. T^2 for PuGa₃. Owing to self-heating which limited the lowest temperature of measurement to 4.9 K, the extrapolation of the C/T data to T=0 is more uncertain than for the PuRh₂ data.

those for PuRh₂, with γ ($T \rightarrow 0$) = 225 ± 25 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² and θ_D = 210 ± 10 K.

Thus, although our measurements have discovered two more "middle-weight" fermion systems, they serve chiefly as a further indication that a successful search for heavy fermion behavior needs input in addition to structural, compositional, or magnetic similarity. The details of the 4 or 5f hybridization with the electrons of the non-f atoms are clearly of critical importance for heavy fermion behavior, as proven by, for example, work [8] on CeCu_{6-x}Ag_x. Also, the progression from U to Np to Pu in a given intermetallic compound, even if the structure remains constant, entails enormous changes in the magnetic behavior, as seen [9] in the series UBe₁₃, NpBe₁₃, and PuBe₁₃.

Acknowledgments

Work at the University of Florida is supported by the US DOE contract number DE-FG05-86ER45268. Work at ORNL is performed under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. The authors acknowledge the assistance of R.O. Elliott and D. Eash.

References

- 1 A.R. Harvey, M.B. Brodsky and W.J. Nellis, *Phys. Rev. B*, 7 (1973) 4137.
- 2 G.R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys., 56 (1984) 755.
- 3 G.R. Stewart, Z. Fisk, J.O. Willis and J.L. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett., 52 (1984) 679.

- 4 A. de Visser, A. Menovsky and J.J.M. Franse, *Physica B*, 147 (1987) 81.
- 5 R.J. Trainor, M.B. Brodsky and H.V. Culbert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 34 (1975) 1019.
- 6 G.R. Stewart, J.L. Smith, A. Giorgi and Z. Fisk, *Phys. Rev. B*, 25 (1982) 5907.
- 7 Z. Fisk, G.R. Stewart, J.O. Willis, H. Ott and F. Hulliger, *Phys. Rev. B*, 30 (1984) 6360.
- 8 G. Fraunberger, B. Andraka, J.S. Kim, U. Ahlheim and G.R. Stewart, *Phys. Rev. B*, 40 (1989) 4735.
- 9 G.R. Stewart, Z. Fisk, J.L. Smith, J.O. Willis and M.S. Wire, *Phys. Rev. B*, 30 (1984) 1249.